## RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

## RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA TABLE OF CONTENTS DECEMBER 31, 2023

INTRODUCTORY SECTION	Page
Organization	1
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	2
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
General Fund Balance Sheet and Governmental Activities - Statement of Net Position	5
General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance and Governmental Activities - Statement of Activities	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	16
Schedule of Contributions	17
Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability	17
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information	18
REPORTS RELATED TO GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	
Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance	21
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With	20
Government Auditing Standards	22
Schedule of Findings and Responses	24

## RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA ORGANIZATION DECEMBER 31, 2023

TITLE	NAME	TERM EXPIRES
Chair	Lyle Dittman	12/31/2023
Vice-Chair	Carol Schoff	12/31/2023
Treasurer	Pete Revier	12/31/2023
Secretary	Scott Balstad	12/31/2023
Board Member	Linda Hanson	12/31/2023

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

PETERSON COMPANY LTD

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Supervisors Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 Detroit Lakes, Minnesota

#### **Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements**

#### Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and the General Fund of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the General Fund of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1, as of December 31, 2023, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* (GAS), issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### **Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and GAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and GAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

## **Required Supplementary Information**

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison schedule on page 16, the defined benefit pension plan schedules on page 17, and the notes to the required supplementary information on pages 18-21 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the district's organizational information under the introductory section but does not include the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinion on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon. In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 19, 2024, on our consideration of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Peterson Company Ltd

Peterson Company Ltd Waconia, Minnesota

October 19, 2024

# RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA GENERAL FUND BALANCE SHEET AND GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES -STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2023

	General Fund			Adjustments		Governmental Activities	
ASSETS							
Cash	\$	156,619	\$	-	\$	156,619	
Due from Other Governments		455,412		-		455,412	
Prepaid Expenses		12,236		-		12,236	
Total Assets		624,267		-		624,267	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES							
Defined Benefit Pension Plan		-		35,806		35,806	
COMBINED ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$	624,267	¢	35,806	¢	660 072	
OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	φ	024,207	\$	35,800	\$	660,073	
LIABILITIES							
Current Liabilities:							
Accrued Expenses	\$	3,395	\$	-	\$	3,395	
Unearned Revenue		508,546		-		508,546	
Total Current Liabilities		511,941		-		511,941	
Long-term Liabilities:							
Net Pension Liability		-		100,654		100,654	
Total Long-Term Liabilities		-		100,654		100,654	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES							
Defined Benefit Pension Plan		-		79,044		79,044	
COMBINED LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED							
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$	511,941	\$	179,698	\$	691,639	
FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION							
Fund Balance:							
Non-spendable - Prepaid Expenses	\$	12,236	\$	(12,236)	\$	-	
Unassigned		100,090		(100,090)		-	
Total Fund Balance	\$	112,326	\$	(112,326)	\$	-	
Net Position:							
Unrestricted			\$	(31,566)	\$	(31,566)	
Total Net Position			\$	(31,566)	\$	(31,566)	

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

# RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA GENERAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE AND GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES - STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

	General Fund A		Ac	Adjustments		vernmental Activities
REVENUES				<u>,</u>		
Intergovernmental	\$	475,006	\$	-	\$	475,006
Interest Earnings		1,509		-		1,509
Miscellaneous		1,877		-		1,877
Total Revenues		478,392		-		478,392
EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES Conservation:						
Current		546,888		(13,167)		533,721
Total Expenditures/Expenses		546,888		(13,167)		533,721
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER/UNDER EXPENDITURES		(68,496)		13,167		(55,329)
Fund Balance/Net Position - Beginning of Year		180,822		(157,059)		23,763
FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION - END OF YEAR	\$	112,326	\$	(143,892)	\$	(31,566)

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### **NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The financial statements of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 (the TSA) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the year ended December 31, 2023. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements (statements and interpretations). The significant accounting policies used by the TSA are discussed below.

#### Financial Reporting Entity

The Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 is organized under the provisions of Minnesota Statutes Chapter 103C. The TSA is governed by a Board of Supervisors composed of one member from each of the participating Soil and Water Conservation Districts.

The purpose of the TSA is to assist land occupiers in applying practices for the conservation of soil and water resources. These practices are intended to control wind and water erosion, pollution of lakes and streams, and damage to wetlands and wildlife habitats.

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, consideration has been given to other organizations that should be included in the TSA's financial statements for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the TSA are such that exclusion would cause the TSA's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. There are no organizations that should be presented with the TSA.

#### **Basic Financial Statements**

Basic financial statements include information on the TSA's activities as a whole and information on the individual fund of the TSA. These separate presentations are reported in different columns. Each of the statements starts with a column of information based on activities of the General Fund and reconciles it to a column that reports the "governmental activities" of the TSA as a whole.

#### Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The governmental activities are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. Revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. The TSA's net position is reported as restricted and unrestricted. The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the expenses of the TSA are offset by revenues.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available. The TSA considers all revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days after the end of the current period. Charges for services and interest are considered to be susceptible to accrual. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, compensated absences, and claims and judgments, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent that they have matured. Proceeds of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources, when applicable.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the TSA's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Balance

## <u>Cash</u>

Cash consists of a checking and savings account.

#### Due from Other Governments

Due from other governments are recorded for local grant amounts that were received after year-end and all eligibility requirements were met.

#### Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses are for items that will provide future benefit over the next twelve months.

#### Unearned Revenue

Unearned revenue is recorded for amounts of state and local grants received prior to satisfying all eligibility requirements imposed by the providers.

#### Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows/inflows of resources, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) and additions to/deductions from PERA's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERA. For this purpose, plan contributions are recognized as of employer payroll paid dates and benefit payments, and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

#### Deferred Outflows of Resources

The financial statements for the TSA contain deferred outflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until that time. The TSA has one type of deferred outflow which is pension related.

#### **Deferred Inflows of Resources**

The financial statements for the TSA contain deferred inflows of resources. A deferred inflow of resources represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The TSA has one type of deferred inflow which is pension related.

#### Fund Balance

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report components of fund balance to provide information about fund balance availability for appropriation. Non-spendable fund balance represents amounts that are inherently non-spendable or assets that are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. Restricted fund balance represents amounts available for appropriation but intended for a specific use and is legally restricted by outside parties (statute, grantors, bond agreements, etc.). Committed fund balance represents constraints on spending that the government imposes upon itself by a high-level formal action prior to the close of the fiscal period. Assigned fund balance represents resources intended for spending for a purpose set by the government body itself or by some person or government body delegated to exercise such authority in accordance with the policy established by the Board. Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the TSA's General Fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications.

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

# Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Balance (Continued)

#### Fund Balance (Continued)

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, it is the TSA's policy to use restricted first, then the unrestricted fund balance. When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance amounts are available, it is the TSA's policy to use committed first, then assigned, and finally unassigned fund balance amounts.

#### Net Position

Net position represents the difference between assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, and deferred inflows in the governmentwide statement of net position. Net position is reported as restricted in the government-wide financial statements when there are limitations imposed on its use through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, laws, or regulations of other governments. Unrestricted net position is the residual classification for the Governmental Activities Fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Explanation of Adjustments Column in Statements

Long-Term Liabilities: In the Statement of Net Position and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet, an adjustment is made to reflect the total Net Pension Liability the TSA has as of the report date. See Note 4 on Long-Term Liabilities.

<u>Net Pension Expense for the year</u>: In the Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance, the adjustment equals the net pension expense for the year.

#### Change in Accounting Principle

Effective January 1, 2023, the TSA adopted GASB 96, *Subscription-Based Technology Arrangements*. The new standard establishes a right of use subscription asset and a corresponding liability that amortizes over the subscription term for all arrangements with terms longer than 12 months. The TSA only has short-term arrangements; therefore, this new standard will not affect them.

## **NOTE 2 – DEPOSITS**

Minnesota Statutes §§118A.02 and 118A.04 authorize the TSA to designate a depository for public funds and to invest in Certificates of Deposit.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

In the case of deposits, custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a financial institution failure, the TSA's deposits may not be returned to it. The TSA does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk and follows Minnesota Statutes for deposits.

Minnesota Statute §118A.03 requires that all TSA deposits be protected by insurance, surety bond, or collateral. The market value of collateral pledge must equal 110% of the deposits not covered by insurance or corporate surety bonds. Authorized collateral includes: U.S. government treasury bills, notes, or bonds; issues of U.S. government agency; general obligations of a state or local government rated "AA" or better; irrevocable standby letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank; and time deposits insured by a federal agency. Minnesota Statutes require securities pledged as collateral be held in safekeeping in a restricted account at the Federal Reserve Bank or in an account at a trust department of a commercial bank or other financial institution that is not owned or controlled by the financial institution furnishing the collateral.

As of December 31, 2023, the TSA's deposits were not exposed to custodial credit risk.

#### NOTE 3 – UNEARNED REVENUE

Unearned revenue represents unearned advances from the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) and Local Sources for administrative service grants and for the cost-share program. Revenues will be recognized when the related program expenditures are recorded. Unearned revenue for the year ended December 31, 2023, consisted of the following: NPEA Grants \$9,500; Enhanced Shared Services \$43,634; North and South Pods Grants \$455,412; Total \$508,546.

#### NOTE 4 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

The following is a summary of changes in the TSA's long-term liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2023:

	Ja	anuary 1,					Dec	ember 31,
		2023	Incre	eases	De	creases		2023
Net Pension Liability	\$	158,401	\$	-	\$	57,747	\$	100,654
Total	\$	158,401	\$	-	\$	57,747	\$	100,654

#### **NOTE 5 – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The TSA is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors or omissions; injuries to employees; workers' compensation claims; or natural disasters. The TSA has entered into an agreement with the Minnesota Counties Intergovernmental Trust (MCIT) to cover its liabilities for workers compensation and property and casualty. There were no significant reductions of insurance coverage from the prior year. There have been no settlements in excess of the TSA's insurance coverage for any of the past three years.

## NOTE 6 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

#### Plan Description

The TSA participates in the following cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association of Minnesota (PERA). PERA's defined benefit pension plans are established and administered in accordance with *Minnesota Statutes*, Chapters 353 and 356. PERA's defined benefit pension plans are tax qualified plans under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

All full-time and certain part-time employees of the TSA are covered by the General Employees Plan. General Employees Plan members belong to the Coordinated Plan. Coordinated Plan members are covered by Social Security.

#### **Benefits Provided**

PERA provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Benefit provisions are established by state statute and can only be modified by the State Legislature. Vested, terminated employees who are entitled to benefits, but are not receiving them yet, are bound by the provisions in effect at the time they last terminated their public service.

General Employees Plan benefits are based on a member's highest average salary for any five successive years of allowable service, age, and years of credit at termination of service. Two methods are used to compute benefits for PERA's Coordinated Plan members. Members hired prior to July 1, 1989, receive the higher of Method 1 or Method 2 formulas. Only Method 2 is used for members hired after June 30, 1989. Under Method 1, the accrual rate for Coordinated members is 1.20 percent for each of the first 10 years of service and 1.70 percent for each additional year. Under Method 2, the accrual rate for Coordinated members is 1.70 percent for all years of service. For members hired prior to July 1, 1989, a full annuity is available when age plus years of service equal 90 and normal retirement age is 65. For members hired on or after July 1, 1989, normal retirement age is the age for unreduced Social Security benefits capped at 66.

Benefit increases are provided to benefit recipients each January. The postretirement increase is equal to 50 percent of the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) announced by the SSA, with a minimum increase of at least 1 percent and a maximum of 1.50 percent. Recipients that have been receiving the annuity or benefit for at least a full year as of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase will receive the full increase. Recipients receiving the annuity or benefit for at least one month but less than a full year as of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase of the June 30 before the effective date of the increase will receive a reduced prorated increase. In 2023, legislation repealed the statute delaying increases for members retiring before retirement age.

#### Contributions

*Minnesota Statutes* Chapter 353 sets the rates for employer and employee contributions. Contribution rates can only be modified by the State Legislature.

Coordinated Plan members were required to contribute 6.50 percent of their annual covered salary in fiscal year 2023 and the TSA was required to contribute 7.50 percent for Coordinated Plan members. The TSA's contributions to the General Employees Fund for the year ended December 31, 2023, were \$0. The TSA had no employees in 2023.

## NOTE 6 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

#### Pension Costs

At December 31, 2023, the TSA reported a liability of \$100,654 for its proportionate share of the General Employees Fund's net pension liability. The TSA's net pension liability reflected a reduction due to the State of Minnesota's contribution of \$16 million. The State of Minnesota is considered a non-employer contributing entity and the state's contribution meets the definition of a special funding situation. The State of Minnesota's proportionate share of the net pension liability associated with the TSA totaled \$2,850.

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2023, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The TSA's proportionate share of the net pension liability was based on the TSA's contributions received by PERA during the measurement period for employer payroll paid dates from July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023, relative to the total employer contributions received from all of PERA's participating employers. The TSA's proportionate share was .0018 percent at the end of the measurement period and .0020 percent for the beginning of the period.

TSA's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 100,654
State of Minnesota's proportionate share of the net pension	
liability associated with the TSA	 2,850
Total	\$ 103,504

For the year ended December 31, 2023, the TSA recognized a pension credit of \$13,167 for its proportionate share of the General Employees Plan's pension expense. In addition, the TSA recognized an additional \$13 as pension expense (and grant revenue) for its proportionate share of the State of Minnesota's contribution of \$16 million to the General Employees Fund.

At December 31, 2023, the TSA reported its proportionate share of the General Employees Plan's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		In	eferred lows of sources
Differences between expected and actual economic experience	\$	3,614	\$	1,518
Changes in actuarial assumptions		32,192		35,611
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings		-		8,742
Changes in proportion		-		33,173
Contributions paid to PERA subsequent to the measurement date Total	\$	- 35,806	\$	79,044

## NOTE 6 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

#### Pension Costs (Continued)

The \$0 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from TSA contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2024. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31:	Pension Expense	Amount
2024	\$	(15,348)
2025		(19,025)
2026		(14,715)
2027		5,850

#### Long-Term Expected Return on Investment

The State Board of Investment, which manages the investments of PERA, prepares an analysis of the reasonableness on a regular basis of the long-term expected rate of return using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future rates of return are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce an expected long-term rate of return by weighting the expected future rates of return by the target asset allocation percentages. The target allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Target Allocation (%)	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return (%)
A3301 01833	raiget Allocation (70)	
Domestic Equity	33.5	5.10
International Equity	16.5	5.30
Fixed Income	25.0	0.75
Private Markets	25.0	5.90
Total	100.00	

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The total pension liability in the June 30, 2023, actuarial valuation was determined using an individual entry-age normal actuarial cost method. The long-term rate of return on pension plan investments used in the determination of the total liability is 7.00 percent. This assumption is based on a review of inflation and investments return assumptions from a number of national investment consulting firms. The review provided a range of return investment return rates deemed to be reasonable by the actuary. An investment return of 7.00 percent was deemed to be within that range of reasonableness for financial reporting purposes.

Inflation is assumed to be 2.25 percent for the General Employees Plan. Benefit increases after retirement are assumed to be 1.25 percent for the General Employees Plan.

Salary growth assumptions in the General Employees Plan range in annual increments from 10.25 percent after one year of service to 3.00 percent after 27 years of service.

Mortality rates for the General Employees Plan are based on the Pub-2010 General Employee Mortality Table. The tables are adjusted slightly to fit PERA's experience.

## NOTE 6 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (Continued)

Actuarial assumptions for the General Employees Plan are reviewed every four years. The most recent four-year experience study for the General Employees Plan was completed in 2022. The assumption changes were adopted by the Board and became effective with the July 1, 2023, actuarial valuation.

The following changes in actuarial assumptions and plan provisions occurred in 2023:

Changes in Actuarial Assumptions:

• The investment return assumption and single discount rate were changed from 6.50 percent to 7.00 percent.

Changes in Plan Provisions:

- An additional one-time direct state aid contribution of \$170.10 million will be contributed to the Plan on October 1, 2023.
- The vesting period of those hired after June 30, 2010, was changed from five years of allowable service to three years of allowable service.
- The benefit increase delay for early retirements on or after January 1, 2024, was eliminated.
- A one-time, non-compounding benefit increase of 2.50 percent minus the actual 2024 adjustment will be payable in a lump sum for calendar year 2024 by March 31, 2024.

#### Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability in 2023 was 7.00 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members and employers will be made at rates set in Minnesota Statutes. Based on these assumptions, the fiduciary net position of the General Employees Fund was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

#### Pension Liability Sensitivity

The following presents the TSA's proportionate share of the net pension liability, calculated using the discount rate disclosed in the preceding paragraph, as well as what the TSA's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current discount rate:

	C	Decrease in Discount te (6.00%)	Current Discount ate (7.00%)	Increase in Discount ate (8.00%)
District's proportionate share of the GERF net pension liability:	\$	178,065	\$ 100,654	\$ 36,980

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in a separately issued PERA financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained on the Internet at www.mnpera.org.

## NOTE 7 – OPERATING LEASES

The Becker Soil and Water Conservation District is the fiscal agent for the TSA and provides office space.

#### **NOTE 8 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

The TSA is not aware of any existing or pending lawsuits, claims or other actions in which the TSA is a defendant.

## NOTE 9 - RECONCILIATION OF FUND BALANCE TO NET POSITION

Governmental Fund Balance, January 1 Less: Excess of Expenditures over Revenues	\$ 180,822 (68,496)
Governmental Fund Balance, December 31	\$ 112,326
Adjustments from Fund Balance to Net Position:	
Plus: Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$ 35,806
Less: Long-Term Liabilities	(100,654)
Less: Deferred Inflows of Resources	 (79,044)
Net Position	\$ (31,566)

#### NOTE 10 - RECONCILIATION OF CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE TO CHANGE IN NET POSITION

Change in Fund Balance	\$ (68,496)
Pension Credit, net	 13,167
Change in Net Position	\$ (55,329)

## NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The TSA has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through October 19, 2024, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

## RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

	Original/		
	Final		Variance With
	Budget	Actual	Final Budget
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental:			
State Grants	618,000	475,006	(142,994)
Total Intergovernmental	618,000	475,006	(142,994)
Interest Earnings	500	1,509	1,009
Miscellaneous	3,000	1,877	(1,123)
Total Revenues	621,500	478,392	(143,108)
EXPENDITURES			
District Operations:			
Personnel Services	41,000	-	41,000
Other Services and Charges	27,300	42,359	(15,059)
Total District Operations	68,300	42,359	25,941
Project Expenditures:			
State	714,713	504,529	210,184
Total Project Expenditures	714,713	504,529	210,184
Total Expenditures	783,013	546,888	236,125
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER/UNDER EXPENDITURES	(161,513)	(68,496)	93,017
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year	180,822	180,822	
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	\$ 19,309	\$ 112,326	\$ 93,017

## RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS GENERAL EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT FUND DECEMBER 31, 2023

Fiscal Year Ending	Statutorily Required Contributions (a)		in F the F	ntributions Relation to Statutorily Required ntributions (b)	-	ontribution Deficiency (Excess) (a-b)		Covered Payroll (c)	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (b/c)	
2015	\$	14,110	\$	14,110	\$		\$	100 122	7.50%	
		,		,		-	·	188,133		
2016	\$	14,528	\$	14,528	\$	-	\$	193,707	7.50%	
2017	\$	14,881	\$	14,881	\$	-	\$	198,413	7.50%	
2018	\$	17,275	\$	17,275	\$	-	\$	230,333	7.50%	
2019	\$	15,946	\$	15,946	\$	-	\$	212,613	7.50%	
2020	\$	12,753	\$	12,753	\$	-	\$	170,040	7.50%	
2021	\$	12,068	\$	12,068	\$	-	\$	160,907	7.50%	
2022	\$	16,603	\$	16,603	\$	-	\$	221,373	7.50%	

\* This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The amounts presented for each year-end were determined December 31.

## SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY GENERAL EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT FUND DECEMBER 31, 2023

				State's oportionate			Employer's Proportionate Share of the	
		Employer's oportionate		hare of the et Pension			Net Pension Liability	Plan Fiduciary
	Employer's	hare of the	IN	Liability			(Asset) as a	Net Position as
	Proportion of	et Pension	A	Associated			Percentage of	a Percentage
	Net Pension	Liability		with the		Covered	Covered	of the Total
Fiscal Year	Liability	(Asset)		District	Total	Payroll	Payroll	Pension
Ending	(Asset)	(a)		(b)	(a+b)	(c)	((a+b)/c)	Liability
2015	0.0034%	\$ 176,206	\$	-	\$ 176,206	\$ 198,679	88.69%	78.19%
2016	0.0031%	\$ 251,705	\$	3,245	\$ 254,950	\$ 191,015	133.47%	68.90%
2017	0.0032%	\$ 204,286	\$	2,536	\$ 206,822	\$ 203,520	101.62%	75.90%
2018	0.0031%	\$ 171,975	\$	5,638	\$ 177,613	\$ 205,702	86.34%	79.50%
2019	0.0035%	\$ 193,507	\$	6,000	\$ 199,507	\$ 244,907	81.46%	80.20%
2020	0.0025%	\$ 149,886	\$	4,663	\$ 154,549	\$ 176,433	87.60%	79.10%
2021	0.0024%	\$ 102,491	\$	3,164	\$ 105,655	\$ 150,024	70.43%	87.00%
2022	0.0020%	\$ 158,401	\$	4,737	\$ 163,138	\$ 150,042	108.73%	76.70%
2023	0.0018%	\$ 100,654	\$	2,850	\$ 103,504	\$ 150,024	68.99%	83.10%

\* This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined June 30.

See accompanying Notes to the Required Supplementary Information.

#### RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DECEMBER 31, 2023

## NOTE 1 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN - CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The following changes were reflected in the valuation of the General Employees Retirement Plan performed on behalf of the Public Employees Retirement Association for the fiscal year June 30:

2023 -

• The investment return assumption and single discount rate were changed from 6.50 percent to 7.00 percent.

2022 -

• The mortality improvement scale was changed from Scale MP-2020 to Scale MP-2021.

2021 -

- The investment return and single discount rates were changed from 7.50 percent to 6.50 percent, for financial reporting purposes.
- The mortality improvement scale was changed from Scale MP-2019 to Scale MP-2020.

2020 -

- The price inflation assumption was decreased from 2.50 percent to 2.25 percent.
- The payroll growth assumption was decreased from 3.25 percent to 3.00 percent.
- Assumed salary increase rates were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019 experience study. The net effect is assumed rates that average 0.25 percent less than previous rates.
- Assumed rates of retirement were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019 experience study. The changes result in more unreduced (normal) retirements and slightly fewer Rule of 90 and early retirements.
- Assumed rates of termination were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019 experience study. The new rates are based on service and are generally lower than the previous rates for year 2-5 and slightly higher thereafter.
- Assumed rates of disability were changed as recommended in the June 30, 2019 experience study. The change results in fewer predicted disability retirements for males and females.
- The base mortality table for healthy annuitants and employees was changed from the RP-2014 table to the Pub-2010 General Mortality table, with adjustments. The base mortality table for disabled annuitants was changed from the RP-2014 disabled annuitant mortality table to the PUB-2010 General/Teacher disabled annuitant mortality table, with adjustments.
- The mortality improvement scale was changed from Scale MP-2018 to Scale MP-2019.
- The assumed spouse age difference was changed from two years older for females to one year older.
- The assumed number of married male new retirees electing the 100.00 percent Joint & Survivor option changed from 35.00 percent to 45.00 percent. The assumed number of married female new retirees electing the 100.00 percent Joint & Survivor option changed from 15.00 percent to 30.00 percent. The corresponding number of married new retirees electing the Life annuity option was adjusted accordingly.

2019 - The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2017 to MP-2018.

2018 - The mortality projection scale was changed from MP-2015 to MP-2017. The assumed benefit increase was changed from 1.00 percent per year through 2044 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter to 1.25 percent per year.

#### RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (CONTINUED) DECEMBER 31, 2023

# NOTE 1 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN – CHANGES IN ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS (CONTINUED)

2017 - The Combined Service Annuity (CSA) loads were changed from 0.80 percent for active members and 60.00 percent for vested and non-vested deferred members. The revised CSA loads are now 0.00 percent for active member liability, 15.00 percent for vested deferred member liability and 3.00 percent for non-vested deferred member liability. The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year for all years to 1.00 percent per year through 2044 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter.

2016 - The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year through 2035 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter to 1.00 percent per year for all future years. The assumed investment return was changed from 7.90 percent to 7.50 percent. The single discount rate was changed from 7.90 percent to 7.50 percent. Other assumptions were changed pursuant to the experience study dated June 30, 2015. The assumed future salary increases, payroll growth and inflation were decreased by 0.25 percent to 3.25 percent for payroll growth and 2.50 percent for inflation.

2015 - The assumed post-retirement benefit increase rate was changed from 1.00 percent per year through 2030 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter to 1.00 percent per year through 2035 and 2.50 percent per year thereafter.

## NOTE 2 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN – CHANGES IN SIGNIFICANT PLAN PROVISIONS

The following changes were reflected in the valuation of the General Employees Retirement Plan performed on behalf of the Public Employees Retirement Association for the fiscal year June 30:

2023 -

- An additional one-time direct state aid contribution of \$170.10 million will be contributed to the Plan on October 1, 2023.
- The vesting period of those hired after June 30, 2010, was changed from five years of allowable service to three years of allowable service.
- The benefit increase delay for early retirements on or after January 1, 2024, was eliminated.
- A one-time, non-compounding benefit increase of 2.50 percent minus the actual 2024 adjustment will be payable in a lump sum for calendar year 2024 by March 31, 2024.

2022 - There were no changes in plan provisions since the previous valuation.

2021 - There were no changes in plan provisions since the previous valuation.

2020 - Augmentation for current privatized members was reduced to 2.00 percent for the period July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2023 and 0.00 percent after. Augmentation was eliminated for privatizations occurring after June 30, 2020.

2019 - The employer supplemental contribution was changed prospectively, decreasing from \$31.0 million to \$21.0 million per year. The State's special funding contribution was changed prospectively, requiring \$16.0 million due per year through 2031.

#### RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (CONTINUED) DECEMBER 31, 2023

#### NOTE 2 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN – CHANGES IN SIGNIFICANT PLAN PROVISIONS (CONTINUED)

2018 - The augmentation adjustment in early retirement factors is eliminated over a five-year period starting July 1, 2019, resulting in actuarial equivalence after June 30, 2024. Interest credited on member contributions decreased from 4.00 to 3.00 percent, beginning July 1, 2018. Deferred augmentation was changed to 0.00 percent, effective January 1, 2019. Augmentation that has already accrued for deferred members will still apply. Contribution stabilizer provisions were repealed. Postretirement benefit increases were changed from 1.00 percent per year with a provision to increase to 2.50 percent upon attainment of 90.00 percent funding ratio to 50.00 percent of the Social Security Cost of Living Adjustment, not less than 1.00 percent and not more than 1.50 percent, beginning January 1, 2019. For retirements on or after January 1, 2024, the first benefit increase is delayed until the retire reaches normal retirement age; does not apply to Rule of 90, disability benefit recipients, or survivors. Actuarial equivalent factors were updated to reflect revised mortality and interest assumptions.

2017 - The State's contribution for the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund equals \$16.0 million in 2017 and 2018, and \$6.0 million thereafter. The Employer Supplemental Contribution for the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund changed from \$21.0 million to \$31.0 million in calendar years 2019 to 2031. The state's contribution changed from \$16.0 million to \$6.0 million in calendar years 2019 to 2031.

2015 - On January 1, 2015, the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund was merged into the General Employees Fund, which increased the total pension liability by \$1.1 billion and increased the fiduciary plan net position by \$892 million. Upon consolidation, state and employer contributions were revised.

#### NOTE 3 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Each fall, the Board of Supervisors adopts an annual budget for the following year for the General Fund. Any modifications in the adopted budget can be made upon request of and approval by the Board of Supervisors. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end. Legal budgetary control is at the fund level.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

PETERSON COMPANY LTD

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the Board of Supervisors Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 Detroit Lakes, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the General Fund of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 19, 2024.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 failed to comply with the provisions of the contracting – bid laws, depositories of public funds and public investments, conflicts of interest, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions,* promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statutes §6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures; other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Peterson Company Ltd

Peterson Company Ltd Waconia, Minnesota

October 19, 2024



## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Supervisors Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 Detroit Lakes, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the General Fund of Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1 as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 19, 2024.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Red River Service Area TSA 1's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as items 2023-001 and 2023-002 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

## **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's Responses to Findings

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the TSA's responses to the internal control findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. The Red River Valley Conservation Service Area TSA 1's responses were not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

## **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Peterson Company Ltd

Peterson Company Ltd Waconia, Minnesota

October 19, 2024

#### RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES DECEMBER 31, 2023

#### 2023-001: Segregation of Duties

**Criteria**: Generally, a system of internal control contemplates separation of duties such that no individual has responsibility to execute a transaction, has physical access to the related assets, and has responsibility or authority to record the transaction.

Condition and Context: Substantially all accounting procedures are performed by one person.

**Cause**: The TSA's limited size and staffing resources have made it difficult for management to provide sufficient staffing to fully segregate incompatible duties in a cost-effective matter.

**Effect**: Without sufficient segregation of duties, the risk significantly increases that errors and fraud, including misappropriation of assets, could occur and not be detected within a timely basis.

Prior Year Finding: Yes, 2022-001.

**Recommendation**: Management and the board should consider a formal evaluation of their risks associated with this lack of duties segregation. In response to the identified risks, consideration should be given to identifying and implementing controls that could help mitigate the risks associated with lack of segregation of duties, such as providing increased management oversight and an independent reconciliation of accounts. Any modification of internal controls in this area must be viewed from a cost/benefit perspective.

**Management Response**: The TSA has adequate policies and procedures in place to compensate for the lack of segregation of duties, including having all disbursements approved by the Board of Supervisors.

#### RED RIVER VALLEY CONSERVATION SERVICE AREA TSA 1 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES (CONTINUED) DECEMBER 31, 2023

#### 2023-002: Financial Statement Presentation

**Criteria**: The TSA's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls, including monitoring, and for the fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

**Condition and Context**: As part of the audit, management requested us to prepare a draft of the financial statements, including the related notes to the financial statements. Management has accepted responsibility for the financial statements and reviewed them.

Cause: The TSA has a limited number of personnel with financial reporting experience.

**Effect**: The design of the controls over the financial reporting process would affect the ability of the TSA to report its financial data consistently with the assertions of the management in the financial statements.

Prior Year Finding: Yes, 2022-002.

**Recommendation**: We recommend that the TSA be aware of the requirements for fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles. Should the TSA elect, based upon an analysis of costs and benefits, to establish the full oversight of the financial statement preparation of an appropriate level, we suggest management establish effective review policies and procedures including but not limited to the following: reconciling general ledger amounts to the draft financial statements; review of all supporting documentation and explanations for journal entries proposed by us; complete the disclosure checklist; review and approval of schedules and calculations supporting the amounts included in the notes to the financial statements; apply analytic procedures to the draft financial statements; and perform other procedures considered necessary by management.

**Management Response**: The TSA understands that this is required communications for the preparation of the financial statements.